

Challenging the Underlying Causes of Gender Equity Agroforestry A case study from the Regreening Landscapes of Ghana



Gloria Kukurije Adeyiga

PRIFYSGOL BANGOR UNIVERSITY PhD Candidate Bangor University - World Agroforestry Forestry Research Institute of Ghana (FORIG) Tim Pagella System Scientist

University of Bangor

Ana Maria Peaz-Valencia Gender Specialist World Agroforestry



- 1. How can projects reflect gender in project design and implementation?
- 2. What needs to change institutionally for this change to happen?
- 3. How can we overcome contextual barriers to achieve gender goals?



- Women deciding location and intensity of project implementation.
- Women deciding whether or not to implement technology/practices learned.
- Gender balance in leadership.
- Equity in benefits from restored land uses.
- Women and youth involved in and profiting throughout the value chain





Why do we need to carefully consider gender in restoration?

- What underlies gender inequity in restoration?
 - Structural gender-based constraints
 - Land management decision lies with men
 - Other HH decisions
 - Norms –Restrict access
 - Sacred groves/Trees
 - · Women's incomes tied to tree resources





- Women play significant role in forest management
- Undesirable restoration outcomes





Women's Incomes are tied to tree resources





gender variations in perceptions of value of tree-based ecosystem in the different land uses Another reason to carefully consider gendered in restoration

WOMEN

MEN



• gender variations in perceptions of value of tree-based ecosystem in the different land uses

Agroforestry



Goal:

Gender transformative approach to land restoration=Desired land restoration outcomes

Objectives:

- 1. Establish the baseline of assets, norms/customs of land and tree access, sources of income and control, roles and decision pathways at the household level.
- 2. Describe forces in the household that affect land restoration outcomes.
- 3. Analyze the pathways to gender transformation in households due to gender transformative actions.



Baseline/ End line

- 30 communities
- 10 households
- Household survey
- Couple/HH
- Gendered focus group
 discussions

GTA treatment

- 15 communities
- 10 households/community
- Couple/HH







How does gender inequity manifest in restoration?: Baseline results

- **1.** Access: men have greater access to agricultural land (57.3%) when compared with women (39.3%).
- **2.** Control: women have greater control on 1 land use, while men controlled 5.
- **3.** Work burdens: 12% of male respondents participate in household chores compared to 100% of female respondents.
- **4. Gender Attitudes:**71% male respondents said they did not discuss land restoration with women but 59% of men agreed that women were better at nurturing trees.
- **5. Decisions**: only 2% female respondents had input into decisions on the types of trees to plant on farm decisions compared to 47% of men.



The Gender Transformative Approach

- Engages with people to
 - ✓ Reduce/overcome gender based constraints
 - <u>Challenges underlying causes of gender</u> <u>inequity</u>
 - Norms, relations and institutional structures
 - and technical barriers limiting (women's)participation
 - Equity in decisions
 - Control;
 - resources
 - labour
 - body
 - destiny







GTA Treatments

Aim: addressing unequal

- access and control of resources
- work burden
- participation in decision-making
- perceptions of women's roles and contributions

Approach

- 1. 3 GTA modules,
 - Gender stereotypes
 - Family visioning
 - Balance of resourcesdecisions/assets/labour/expenditure
- 2. Continual household support –community champions
- 3. Periodic monitoring of gender indicators



Gender Indicators – Progress Markers

| | Women | Men |
|------------------|--|--|
| Expect to see | Men and son's help with washing clothes | Provide money for buying condiments. |
| | Men and son's help with fetching water | Give money to women for the grinding mill. |
| | • Men bath children. | • Pay for health insurance and medications for women and children. |
| | Men take young children to school | Pay school fees of children. |
| | Receive money from men for trading | • Weed women farm after Man's farm (family farm). |
| Like to see | Complete control over own livestock income | Women taking care of livestock |
| | Women's farm given priority and ploughed after family farm befor ploughing for other men | • Support and encourage women to attain leadership positions |
| | Men help with taking sick children and older people to the hospital | Actively participate in bringing up the girl child |
| | Men reduced alcohol intake | • Get support from women to buy farm inputs for men farm (far farms) |
| | Discuss family planning with husband without judgement | Concerned about women's general health e.g. accompany to the hospital and supporting women on antenatal visits |
| Love to see | Participate in key household decisions (e.g. income expenditure) | Include women in key decisions e.g. income expenditure |
| | Access fertile family land for farming | Wives accepting of additional wife |
| | Joint control of family farm produce e.g. what to sell, when to sel quantity to sell, and use of cash from crop sale | I, • Allocate fertile land to women for farming |
| | Freely express grievances to husband without ridicule, shame or fear | Apologise to spouse when wrong |
| | Control over body in decisions of sex. | Family more peaceful and united |



How does gender indicators relate to land restoration outcomes



 Continuous active engagement/investment/ action

Shifts in gender relations

Resilient households Shared assets/access/decisions/ work burdens /leadership

- Improved household wellbeing
 - Improved household relations

Structured fair engagement of women in land restoration interventions across the landscape.

Desired land restoration outcomes

Spps/site/soil conservation/bush burning etc



Early GTA -Results

- Access: 80% of women in 15 communities access about ≤ 0.5acres to plant groundnuts/Bambara beans/bean/vegetables.
- 2. Work burdens: more than *half* of male participants participating in household chores e.g. fetching water from longer distances using bicycles/motor bikes, washing cloths, childcare.
 - **95%** of male participants report to women taking care of livestock.
- **3. Decisions**: More than **70%** of women participants 'feel' included in household decisions; reflection in decision needs more engagement and action.
- 4. Potential Scaling: high interest from non-participating households.



Lessons for Up-Scaling Gender in Land Restoration

- 1. Deliberate/intentional planning, design and budgeting for gender components.
- 2. Gender transformation is context specificadaption-not a one size fits all.
- 3. Thrives on exiting 'good relations'.
- 4. Continuous engagement and action –'self driven' -sustainability.
- 5. Mark progress- celebrate successmotivation
- 6. Discuss challenges/difficult topicsrecalibrate.
- 7. Cultivate local 'champions' for GTA



Thank you!

PhD Supervisory Team: Dr. Tim Pagella and Dr. Fergus Sinclair

World Agroforestry (ICRAF), United Nations Avenue, Gigiri, P.O Box 30677-00100, Nairobi, Kenya Phone: +254 20 722 4000 Fax: +254 20 722 4001 Email: worldagroforestry@cgiar.org Website: www.worldagroforestry.org





RESEARCH PROGRAM ON Forests, Trees and Agroforestry



Science for a food-secure future